UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/813,368 | 03/30/2004 | William Thomas Hatfield | 140283-1/YOD GERD:0105 | 4692 | |
| 7590 01/25/2007 Patrick S. Yoder | | | EXAMINER | | |
| FLETCHER YODER | | | GOINS, DAVETTA WOODS | | |
| P.O. Box 69223 Houston, TX 7 | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| , | | | 2612 | , | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/25/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | 57 | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Advisory Action | 10/813,368 | HATFIELD ET AL. | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Davetta W. Goins | 2612 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 27 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | FOR ALLOWANCE. | • | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl | ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv | - | e final rejection, whicheve | eris later In no | | |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI | f the final rejection. | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | jected claims. | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | allowable if submitted in a separate | , timely filed amendn | nent canceling | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wovided below or appended. | rill be entered and an | explanation of | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a North and sufficient reasons why the affidation | Notice of Appeal will <u>residence</u> | <u>not</u> be entered is necessary | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d) | ails to provide a (1). | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after | entry is below or attac | ched. | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | ance because: | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | |

Davetta W. Goins Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2612

13. Other: ____.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: After reviewing the Applicant's arguments with respect to the references alone or in combination not teaching the claimed "remote alarm" are not persuasive enough to withdraw the Final office action which still stands.

Since Lange includes switching on Apparatus E, to notify the driver of the vehicle of a cracked lens, enforces the need to want to alert any persons of interest of the cracked lens. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate Jessup's teaching of initiating an alarm upon rupture of a lens, and further Nesbitt's teaching of transmitting an alarm condition to a remote location, to ensure that the driver, whether near the vehicle or not, is aware of vandalism that has taken place with the vehicle.